



OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Our Ref: 26-1133
Your Ref: 22341392
Telephone: 8207 5555



Re: Freedom of Information Act application

I refer to your application received by South Australia Police (SAPOL) in which access was sought to the following information pursuant to the *Freedom of Information (FOI) Act 1991*:

“A list of all police incidents (Date & Time/Event Type/Event Sub Type) at Dr Kent's Paddock Housing Complex Kent Town (located at 3-57 Capper Street and 28-48 Rundle Street Kent Town) from 1 April 2022 to 13 October 2025”

South Australia Police (SAPOL) has located a document that falls within the scope of your request. The document is numbered and described in the following schedule. The schedule contains the details of the determination in compliance with Section 23. In particular, note the grounds on which access has been refused, including the reasons which are contained in the schedule.

SA POLICE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION UNIT SCHEDULE			
No.	Document Description	Status	Clause
1	Doc 1 List of Police Attendances (Dr Kent's Paddock) 01/04/2022 to 13/10/2025	Partial Release	6(1)

Please note: The scheduled document contains records of incidents logged in the South Australia Computer Aided Dispatch (SACAD) system. SACAD is used to manage requests for police attendance and to allocate patrol resources accordingly. The information recorded may not reflect the final classification or outcome of the incident following further investigation.



JUSTIFICATION AND CLAUSES FOR REFUSAL

Clause 6(1) of Schedule 1 of the FOI Act which states:

“A document is an exempt document if it contains matter the disclosure of which would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of any person (living or dead).”

The redacted content relates to serious offences involving potentially identifiable individuals. Although the list of offences is extensive and pertains to a large unit complex, the specific dates and nature of the offences create a risk that individuals familiar with the location, such as residents or members of the public, could reasonably infer the identity of the persons involved. This risk is heightened by the proximity of the offences and the limited number of incidents recorded on those dates.

Disclosure of this information would not only compromise the privacy of the individuals concerned but could also lead to reputational harm, distress, or other adverse consequences. The FOI Act requires a balance between the public interest in disclosure and the protection of personal privacy. In this case, the potential harm to the individuals clearly outweighs any public interest in releasing the information. Accordingly, I find the redacted material to be exempt from disclosure.

Your rights to review

If you are dissatisfied with this determination, you are entitled to exercise your right of internal review in accordance with section 29(1) of the FOI Act by completing a PD362 Application for Internal Review form, which can be downloaded from <https://www.police.sa.gov.au/services-and-events/freedom-of-information> or available upon request at your nearest police station. Alternatively, an application may be made in writing to the SAPOL Freedom of Information Unit. This application must be lodged within 30 (calendar) days after you receive this letter with a fee of \$43.30.

Disclosure Log

In accordance with the requirements of Premier and Cabinet Circular PC045, details of your FOI application, and any documents or information to which you are given access will be published on the SAPOL website Disclosure Log. A copy of PC045 can be found at: https://www.dpc.sa.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0019/20818/PC045-Disclosure-Logs.pdf.

If you disagree with publication, please advise the undersigned in writing by 22 November 2025.

Yours sincerely



Acting Senior Sergeant Christian Ainley
Freedom of Information Unit
(Accredited Freedom of Information Officer)

16 October 2025